

Date: Thu, 6 May 93 04:30:21 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #129
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 6 May 93 Volume 93 : Issue 129

Today's Topics:

 Autopatch (2 msgs)
 Cellular capable scanners...Buy'em Whil
 MARS operators and coded messages (was
 No-Coders/Coders:What's The Difference? (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 4 May 93 22:13:19 EST
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!wariat.org!
nraven!raven@ames.arpa
Subject: Autopatch
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM (Pete Rossi) writes:

>Why not just call him from the fast-food place? Most have pay phones
>don't they?

SOME do.. one in particular has one that has been broken for 2 years now and I
don't think anyone is going to fix it.. =) Okay, let's say I'm in the drive
thru line then, and I can't get to a pay phone..

Just answer the question.. I'm not going to use an autopatch unless I NEED to..

raven@nraven.wariat.org

Raven's Nest Inn 216/468-3890

Dan Roberts (N8XXW)

Date: Wed, 05 May 93 15:07:54 EDT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!malgudi.oar.net!wariat.org!wariat.org!dreaml!jga@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Autopatch
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

raven@nraven.wariat.org (Dan Roberts) writes:

```
| Hypothetical situation:  
|  
| I stop at a fast-food restaurant on my way to a friend's house. I decide th  
| I'll be a nice guy and see if he'd like me to bring something for him, so I  
| call him on the autopatch, ask him what he wants and how much it costs, etc..  
|  
| Would that be illegal due to the "not for business use" clause in the rule  
| book?
```

While many people argue that that is legal, I personally wouldn't do it.
And seeing as you are local to me, if you did it on one of LEARA's
repeaters, you MIGHT get a phone call from one of the trustee's asking you
not to do it. Maybe. I'm not a trustee, but.. :)

73, Jon

```
| raven@nraven.wariat.org  
| Dan Roberts (N8XXW)
```

Raven's Nest Inn 216/468-389

```
--  
| | | | -Jon Anhold N8USK- @ Dreamland Network Systems  
+--+---+---+ (dreaml!jga) (jga@dreaml.wariat.org) AMPR: N8USK @ N08M  
| # | | | <"Wouldn't it be great if George Bush booby trapped the White>  
| # | | | < House like the kid in Home Alone 2?" >
```

Date: 5 May 93 14:44:00 CDT
From: usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!sdd.hp.com!saimiri.primite.wisc.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!uwm.edu!linac!uchinews!raistlin!timbuk.cray.com!hemlock.cray.com!cherry10!dadams@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Cellular capable scanners...Buy'em Whil
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article 1s79esINN3jj@dns1.NMSU.Edu, weasel@acca.nmsu.edu (Politically impolite!) writes:

|

|How about this: a cheap crystal-controlled scanner that only had 3 channels
|in it? Make 'em cheap enough to buy a lot of them. :) Or be able to fabricate
|an add-on board with enough space for x number of crystals that would plug
|into the forementioned scanner in a not-so-obvious way. It would be a cheap
|3-channel scanner with electronics that 'enhance' it without actually being
|easy to modify. Hell, I don't know, ya got ME going now, too!

|

I still like the work arround of having a radio that is not a scanning radio
that is capable of tunning in celular frequencies that can be easily modified
to be a scanning radio. If it had a remote control, say that would allow
the user to set the frequency by the remote control, it seems than one
could modify the control curcitrty so that it is controled by a computer
instead. Then the computer can cycle through a list of frequencies. ;^)

| -T.J. Harrell III

|

|P.S. you know how the new regs say that scanners can't contain circuitry to
|decode digital cellular signals? How about having a plug-in PC board
|decode the output of a scanner? Could this be done? These new regs are
|challenging, eh?

Yea right. All the processing can happen outside the radio.

--David C. Adams Statistician Cray Research Inc. dadams@cray.com

They moved all the streets around while you were sleeping last night.

Date: 5 May 93 14:48:25 CDT

From: usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!sdd.hp.com!saimiri.primate.wisc.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-
state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!ra!cs.umd.edu!uchinews!raistlin!
timbuk.cray.com!hemlock.cray.

Subject: MARS operators and coded messages (was

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article 34031@hacgate.SCG.HAC.COM, tony@dunes.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Tony Reeves) writes:

|1) we, that is most of the U.S., speak english. The conversations above was in |
|english. The meaning and purpose was easy to understand.

|

|2) Because we speak a language that is common to us all. We have the ability to | understand that which is not spoken....

Does this imply that I may not speak Spanish on the ham bands to other Spanish speaking hams?

--David C. Adams Statistician Cray Research Inc. dadams@cray.com

They moved all the streets around while you were sleeping last night.

Date: 6 May 93 04:17:08 GMT

From: news.service.uci.edu!orion.oac.uci.edu!easu348@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: No-Coders/Coders:What's The Difference?

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I'm just speaking for myself here, but I can't believe some of the things I read in this not-so-new No-Code debate. Some quotes like "All no-coders are scumbags", etc. The ENTIRE reason I became a HAM is to become part of a large family that helped one another. I have found that to be true around here, on and off the radio. For the most part, the people on the air have been terrific people. This is one of the first places where I have seen such intolerance for beginners and for those (God forbid) that just enjoy TALKING and not CW also. I have nothing against CW. I think it would be great to know, which is the only reason I'm studying it religiously. But, I don't understand why someone who knows code is any different than those who do not know code. We are all a family. Let's start acting like one. Sorry if I have offended anyone. It certainly was not intentional.

Sincerely,

Andrew Parker

--

Andrew Parker | KD6TGM | easu348@orion.oac.uci.edu

Date: Thu, 6 May 93 06:02:55 GMT

From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!agate!headwall.Stanford.EDU!nntp.Stanford.EDU!

umunhum!paulf@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: No-Coders/Coders:What's The Difference?

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

There's no shortage of "religious" views with respect to the CW requirement, and certainly no shortage of "True Believers" one way or the other. For this reason, it's best if the legal requirement lives or dies based solely on

technical merit (although even that isn't an entirely objective metric).

It's also best, by analogy, if some tolerance is applied here...

--

-Paul Flaherty, N9FZX | "Just name a hero, and I'll prove he's a bum."
->paulf@Stanford.EDU | -- Col. Gregory "Pappy" Boyington, USMC (ret)

Date: 5 May 93 18:29:52 GMT
From: topaz.bds.com!topaz.bds.com!ron@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <V9qX3B2w165w@GRAFex.Cupertino.CA.US>,
<1993May04.145600.112514@locus.com>, <1s79cg\$ngs@gaia.ucs.orst.edu>
Subject : Re: MARS operators and coded messages (was Re: MARS)

> Government radio location services, when
> present in the amateur bands, are also not bound by part 97

Yeah? What does this have to do with anythings. Do MARS rules authorize use of amateur frequencies?

-Ron

Date: 5 May 93 18:33:47 GMT
From: topaz.bds.com!topaz.bds.com!ron@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Apr30.045836.18412@nntpd2.cxo.dec.com>,
<1993Apr30.110420.15482@VFL.Paramax.COM>, <1993May5.004411.27545@alsys.com>
Subject : Re: Cellular capable scanners...Buy'em While you can!

> Seems to me that under this definition, cellular telephones are scanners,

Now Gary, there you make the mistake. You see, cellular telephones aren't radio's, they're telephones. That's what started this whole foolishness to begin with. The Cellular Industry refuses to acknowledge that the things are radios.

My favorite slogan is on the side of a van belonging to Brandywine Communications (the commercial radio side of Delaware Amateur Radio).

"The convenience of a radio, with the privacy of a phone."

Or is it the other way around?

-Ron

Date: 6 May 93 08:03:31 GMT
From: ogicse!flop.ENG.RST.EDU!gaia.ucs.orst.edu!skyking.ocs.orst.edu!
stanley@network.UCSD.EDU
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993May04.145600.112514@locus.com>, <1s79cg\$mq@gaia.ucs.orst.edu>,
<1s9130INNnc9@topaz.bds.com>
Subject : Re: MARS operators and coded messages (was Re: MARS)

In article <1s9130INNnc9@topaz.bds.com> ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:
>> Government radio location services, when
>> present in the amateur bands, are also not bound by part 97
>
>Yeah? What does this have to do with anything.

It is another example of a government licensed radio service which uses
amateur frequencies without being constrained by amateur regulations.

>Do MARS rules authorize use of amateur frequencies?

As I recall, yes, at certain times. If MARS ops are operating on them,
then I think the correct assumption is that they do.

Date: 6 May 1993 00:01:17 GMT
From: jgervais@ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <C6Fp4o.F2L@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
<930503.231125.2N3.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com>, <10834@blue.cis.pitt.edu>
Subject : Re: no-code defense

In article <10834@blue.cis.pitt.edu> hpb@hpb.cis.pitt.edu (Harry Bloomberg)
writes:
>In article <930503.231125.2N3.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com> system@mooch.sbs.com
(Christopher Ogren) writes:
>>
>>I'm NM1Z and I'm against the no-code license.
>>
> I'm WA3TBL and I wish this dreadful discussion would move to

```
>rec.radio.amateur.policy.  
>  
>Harry Bloomberg WA3TBL  
>hpb+@pitt.edu
```

I second the motion! Move this to rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh, and as a fulltime CW HF nut, I *support* the no-code license.
(Well what the heck, had to put in my 1K bits...)

Joe Gervais jgervais@ucsd.edu
KD6PRD ==> 13 WPM or Bust!

"So... if she... weighs the same as a		Mystery Science Theatre 3000	
duck... she's made of wood."		"It's not just cool, it's the	
"And therefore?"		only thing on TV Saturday	
"...a witch!!!"		afternoons."	

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #129
